

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,963	12/31/2003	David C. Hastings	5024-00009	9670
7: Joseph D. Kubor	590 02/12/2007		EXAM	IINER
	 ALES, STARKE & SAW.	HELLER, 7	HELLER, TAMMIE K	
Suite 1100 100 East Wisconsin Avenue Milwaukee, WI 53202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3766	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MON	THS	02/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/749,963	HASTINGS, DAVID C.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Tammie Heller	3766			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 January 2007. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine.	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/06/04</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-19, in the reply filed on January 16, 2007 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 8 recites the limitation "the subject identification device" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It is unclear whether this is the same identification device of claim 1 or a different device.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dempsey et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,057,758), herein Dempsey. Regarding claim 1,

Art Unit: 3766

Dempsey discloses a system for monitoring a physiological condition of a patient that includes a portable electronic device 100 including a processing circuit 400 and an identification device 404 that may input data representative of an identity of a subject of interest (see Figures 3 and 4).

- 7. Regarding claim 2, Dempsey discloses that the identification device may be a barcode scanner (see col. 9, In. 41-43).
- 8. Regarding claim 3, Dempsey discloses that the identification device may be a radio frequency identification circuit via antenna 112 (see Figure 3).
- 9. Regarding claim 4, it can be seen from Figure 3 of Dempsey that the identification device is configured to input data representative of an identity of the user.
- 10. Regarding claim 5, Dempsey discloses that the functions of the portable electronic device are adjusted based on the identity of the user (see Abstract).
- 11. Regarding claim 6, Dempsey discloses that the display is customized based on the identity of the user (see Abstract).
- 12. Regarding claim 7, Dempsey discloses that the notification messages received by the portable electronic device are determined based on the identity of the user input (see claim 1).
- 13. Regarding claim 8, Dempsey discloses that the information received from the identification device may be used to adjust a number of features of the electronic device (see Abstract and claim 1).

- 14. Regarding claim 9, Dempsey discloses that the device includes an audio signal input device 310, an audio signal output device 312 and a wireless transceiver 112 (see Figure 3).
- 15. Regarding claim 10, it can be seen from Figures 6-9 of Dempsey that the processing circuit may implement organizer programs.
- 16. Regarding claim 11, Dempsey discloses communicating via a cellular data transfer protocol (see col. 3, In. 58-67).
- 17. Regarding claim 12, it can be seen from Figures 6-9 of Dempsey that the processing circuit may implement organizer programs.
- 18. Regarding claims 13 and 14, it can be seen from Figure 7 that the organizer program includes a task list function.
- 19. Regarding claims 15 and 16, Dempsey discloses that the notification message includes physiologic data, specifically an electrocardiogram waveform, acquired from the patient (see col. 8, ln. 4).
- 20. Regarding claim 17, it can be seen from Figures 1-3 that the invention of Dempsey includes a rugged housing.
- 21. Regarding claim 18, Dempsey discloses that the housing may be for example, six inches tall, four inches wide, and one-half inch deep (see col. 7, ln. 22-23). Therefore, the housing of Dempsey is disclosed to have a volume of no more than 35 cubic inches.
- 22. Regarding claim 19, as the device of Dempsey is designed and configured to be utilized within the setting of a hospital, the Examiner takes the position that it is inherent

Art Unit: 3766

that the housing be configured to be free of bacterial growth in order to maintain the sanitary conditions necessary within a hospital setting.

Conclusion

23. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Wakim (U.S. Patent Application 2006/0220838).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tammie Heller whose telephone number is 571-272-1986. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7am until 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert E. Pezzuto can be reached on 571-272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,963 Page 6

Art Unit: 3766

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tammie K. Heller Patent Examiner Art Unit 3766

Robert E. Pezzuto
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3766